

ORIGINAL



0000176412

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

COMMISSIONERS

DOCKETED

2017 JAN 13 P 2:21

TOM FORESE – Chairman
BOB BURNS
DOUG LITTLE
ANDY TOBIN
BOYD W. DUNN

JAN 13 2017

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A
HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF
THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY
FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST
AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN
THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES
DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036

IN THE MATTER OF FUEL AND PURCHASED
POWER PROCUREMENT AUDITS FOR ARIZONA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0123

PROCEDURAL ORDER

Rescheduling Hearing and Modifying
Procedural Schedule, Denying Motion to
Strike, and Granting Motion for
Protective Order

BY THE COMMISSION:

On June 1, 2016, Arizona Public Service Company (“APS” or “Company”) filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission (“Commission”) the above-captioned Rate Case Application.

Parties to this docket are APS, the Commission’s Utilities Division (“Staff”), Richard Gayer; Patricia Ferré; Warren Woodward; IO Data Centers, LLC (“IO”); Freeport Minerals Corporation (“Freeport”); Arizonans for Electric Choice and Competition (“AECC”); Sun City Home Owners Association (“Sun City HOA”); Western Resource Advocates (“WRA”); Arizona Investment Council (“AIC”); Arizona Utility Ratepayer Alliance (“AURA”); Property Owners and Residents Association, Sun City West (“PORA”); Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association (“AriSEIA”); Arizona School Boards Association (“ASBA”) and Arizona Association of School Business Officials (“AASBO”) (collectively “ASBA/AASBO”); Cynthia Zwick; Arizona Community Action Association (“ACA”); Southwest Energy Efficiency Project (“SWEEP”); the Residential Utility Consumer Office (“RUCO”); Vote Solar; Electrical District Number Eight and McMullen Valley Water Conservation & Drainage District (collectively, “ED8/McMullen”); The Kroger Co. (“Kroger”); Tucson Electric Power

1 Company ("TEP"); Pima County; Solar Energy Industries Association ("SEIA"); the Energy Freedom
2 Coalition of America ("EFCA"); Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc. (collectively,
3 "Walmart"); Local Unions 387 and 769 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-
4 CIO (collectively, "the IBEW Locals"); Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC ("Noble Solutions");
5 the Arizona Competitive Power Alliance ("the Alliance"); Electrical District Number Six, Pinal
6 County, Arizona ("ED 6"), Electrical District Number Seven of the County of Maricopa, State of
7 Arizona ("ED7"), Aguila Irrigation District ("AID"), Tonopah Irrigation District ("TID"), Harquahala
8 Valley Power District ("HVPD"), and Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation District
9 Number One ("MWD") (collectively, "Districts"); the Federal Executive Agencies ("FEA");
10 Constellation New Energy, Inc. ("CNE"); Direct Energy, Inc. ("Direct Energy"); AARP; the City of
11 Coolidge ("Coolidge"); REP America d/b/a ConservAmerica ("ConservAmerica"); and Granite Creek
12 Power & Gas and Granite Creek Farms LLC (collectively, "Granite Creek").

13 On June 14, 2016, APS filed a Notice of Errata.

14 On June 23, 2016, APS filed its Second Notice of Errata.

15 On July 22, 2016, a Rate Case Procedural Order was issued setting the procedural schedule and
16 associated procedural deadlines for this matter, granting several interventions, and granting several
17 requests to receive service by email.

18 On August 1, 2016, a Procedural Order was issued granting Staff's request to consolidate the
19 above-captioned dockets,¹ correcting typographical errors in the July 22, 2016 Rate Case Procedural
20 Order, granting interventions, and granting requests to receive service by email.

21 On July 21, 2016, August 24, 2016, September 30, 2016, November 4, 2016, November 18,
22 2016, and November 21, 2016, APS filed copies of presentations from their Rate Case Technical
23 Conferences.

24 On October 14, 2016, a Procedural Order was issued granting APS's October 6, 2016 Motion
25 for Procedural Conference and Interim Protective Order, which it filed in response to EFCA's October
26 3, 2016 Notice of Deposition of Barbara D. Lockwood.

27
28 ¹ Docket No. E-01345A-16-0123 was opened on April 11, 2016.

1 On October 20, 2016, a procedural conference was held as scheduled by the Procedural Order
2 issued October 14, 2016. APS, EFCA, TEP, Walmart, Freeport Minerals, AECC, Noble Solutions,
3 CNE, Direct Energy, PORA, the Alliance, RUCO, and Staff appeared at the procedural conference
4 through counsel or lay representative. During the procedural conference, APS, Noble Solutions, CNE,
5 Direct Energy, EFCA, and Staff provided comments and arguments regarding discovery issues, and
6 the matter was taken under advisement.

7 On October 21, 2016, a Procedural Order was issued rescheduling the date of the pre-hearing
8 conference in this matter to March 13, 2017.

9 On November 17, 2016, a Procedural Order was issued setting procedural deadlines regarding
10 the deposition of APS witness Barbara Lockwood.

11 On November 30, 2016, EFCA filed a Notice of Deposition of Barbara D. Lockwood. The
12 Notice indicated that EFCA and APS settled upon December 15, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. as the date and
13 time of the deposition.

14 On December 5, 2016, EFCA made three filings in regard to its Emergency Motion to Compel
15 Production of Barbara Lockwood Calendar in Advance of Lockwood Deposition.

16 On December 7, 2016, APS filed its Response in Opposition to EFCA's Motion to Compel.

17 On December 7, 2016, APS filed its Motion to Compel.

18 On December 7, 2016, Mr. Gayer filed his Direct Testimony.

19 On December 12, 2016, EFCA filed a Reply in Support of its Motion to Compel.

20 On December 12, 2016, EFCA filed its Emergency Motion to Compel Production of Report
21 Regarding Rate Impact.

22 On December 13, 2016, by Procedural Order, the Energy Freedom Coalition of America's
23 Motion to Compel Production of Barbara Lockwood's Calendar was denied and Energy Freedom
24 Coalition of America was ordered to file, no later than December 16, 2016, its Response to Arizona
25 Public Service Company's December 7, 2016 Motion to Compel.

26 On December 13, 2016, EFCA filed a Notice of Withdrawal of its Emergency Motion to
27 Compel Production of Report Regarding Rate Impact.

28 On December 14, 2016, Sunrun, Inc. filed a Notice of Withdrawal as Intervenor.

1 On December 14, 2016, Patricia Lee Refo of Snell & Wilmer LLP filed a Notice of Appearance
2 on behalf of APS.

3 On December 19, 2016, EFCA filed its Response to the Motion to Compel filed by APS.

4 On December 19, 2016, Staff filed a Request for Extension of Filing Deadline.

5 On December 20, 2016, the IBEW Locals filed the Direct Testimony of G. David Vandever.

6 On December 21, 2016, the FEA filed the Direct Testimony of its witnesses Brian C. Andrews
7 and Michael P. Gorman.

8 On December 21, 2016, Mr. Woodward filed his Direct Testimony.

9 On December 21, 2016, a Procedural Order was issued extending the deadline for the filing of
10 Intervenor Direct Testimony to December 28, 2016, approving the request of Sunrun, Inc. to withdraw
11 as an intervenor, and approving SEIA's consent to email service request.

12 On December 22, 2016, ConservAmerica filed the Direct Testimony of its witness Paul Walker.

13 On December 22, 2016, RUCO filed the Direct Testimony of its witnesses John Cassidy and
14 Frank Radigan.

15 On December 27, 2016, Mr. Woodward filed his Motion to Compel.

16 On December 27, 2016, APS filed its Reply to EFCA's Response to APS's Motion to Compel.

17 On December 27, 2016, CNE and Direct Energy each filed a Consent to Email Service.

18 On December 28, 2016, AIC filed the Direct Testimony of its witness Branko Terzik.

19 On December 28, 2016, ED8/McMullen filed the Direct Testimony of their witness James D.
20 Downing.

21 On December 28, 2016, AECC filed the Direct Testimony of its witness Kevin Higgins.

22 On December 28, 2016, Wal-Mart filed the Direct Testimony of its witness Gregory W.
23 Tillman.

24 On December 28, 2016, SWEEP filed the Direct Testimony of its witness Jeff Schlegel.

25 On December 28, 2016, EFCA filed the Direct Testimony of its witness Mark E. Garrett.

26 On December 28, 2016, Staff filed the Direct Testimony of its witnesses Ralph Smith, David
27 Parcell, Michael Lewis, and Candrea Allen.

28 On December 29, 2016, APS filed its Notice of Intent of Revenue Requirement Settlement

1 Discussions.

2 On December 30, 2016, APS filed its Notice of Filing Supplemental Testimony, to which was
3 attached the Supplemental Direct Testimonies of Jeffrey M. Burke and Charles A. Miessner, which
4 address APS's proposed valuation of distributed generation exports using the Resource Comparison
5 Proxy ("RCP") Methodology.

6 On December 30, 2016, EFCA filed its Sur-Response to APS's Motion to Compel; Motion to
7 Strike Reply Brief; and Notice of Lodging Sur-Response.

8 On December 30, 2016, EFCA filed its Notice of Deposition of Charles A. Miessner.

9 On December 30, 2016, EFCA filed its Notice of Deposition of Leland R. Snook.

10 On December 30, 2016, APS filed its Response to Mr. Woodward's Motion to Compel.

11 On January 3, 2017, Mr. Woodward filed his Reply to APS's Response to his Motion to
12 Compel.

13 On January 4, 2017, APS filed its Response to EFCA's Motion to Strike Reply Brief and Notice
14 of Lodging Sur-Response.

15 On January 5, 2017, APS filed a Motion for Protective Order.

16 On January 6, 2017, EFCA filed its Response to APS's Motion for Protective Order.

17 On January 6, 2017, EFCA filed its Emergency Motion for Expedited Consideration Regarding
18 EFCA's Response to APS's Motion for Protective Order.

19 On January 6, 2017, EFCA filed its Amended Notice of Deposition of Leland R. Snook.

20 On January 6, 2017, Staff filed its Notice of Time and Location for Settlement Discussions.

21 On January 9, 2017, Vote Solar filed its Expedited Motion to Strike and for Procedural Order.

22 On January 9, 2017, a Procedural Order was issued setting a procedural conference for the dual
23 purpose of addressing the issue of incorporating the RCP Methodology into this proceeding, as directed
24 by Decision No. 75859; and for hearing oral argument on APS's Motion for Protective Order, and on
25 responsive pleadings.

26 On January 10, 2017, Mr. Gayer docketed a supplement to his Direct Testimony.

27 On January 11, 2017, the procedural conference convened as scheduled. Appearances were
28 entered by counsel for APS, AIC, ASDA, Vote Solar, SEIA, EFCA, IO, the Alliance, the FEA,

1 ED8/McMullen, PORA, RUCO, and Staff.

2 Numerous public comments have been filed.

3 RCP Methodology and Valuation of Distributed Generation Exports

4 Decision No. 75859 (January 3, 2017) directed the Hearing Division to promptly issue any
5 necessary Procedural Orders regarding the incorporation of the RCP Methodology into currently
6 pending electric utility rate case proceedings.

7 On December 30, 2017, APS filed the Supplemental Direct Testimonies of Jeffrey M. Burke
8 and Charles A. Miessner ("Supplemental Testimonies") in regard to APS's proposed RCP
9 Methodology valuation of distributed generation exports.

10 On January 9, 2017, Vote Solar filed its Expedited Motion to Strike and for Procedural Order.
11 Vote Solar requests that the Supplemental Testimonies be stricken as premature, with leave for APS to
12 refile at the appropriate time, after Staff has undertaken its RCP Methodology analysis. Vote Solar
13 also proposes dates for Staff to file testimony regarding its RCP Methodology, and for APS and
14 Intervenors to file responses.

15 At the January 11, 2017, procedural conference, Vote Solar asserted that because Decision No.
16 75859 contemplated Staff filing its RCP Methodology testimony first, APS's Supplemental
17 Testimonies were prematurely filed. In regard to its proposed changes to the procedural schedule, Vote
18 Solar stated that discussion as contemplated by the January 9, 2017 Procedural Order regarding
19 incorporation of the RCP Methodology into this proceeding could resolve most of the issues raised in
20 its January 9, 2017 Motion.

21 APS stated that it filed the Supplemental Direct Testimonies in order to provide as much notice
22 as possible to the parties, that they are already public, and that APS has already received discovery
23 requests on them.

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

Staff proposed modifications to the current procedural schedule to facilitate the incorporation of the RCP Methodology as ordered by Decision No. 75859. After discussion, there was general agreement on the following modifications:

Filing	Current Deadline	Proposed New Deadline
Intervenor Direct Testimony (Rate Design)	January 27, 2017	February 3, 2017
APS Rebuttal Testimony	February 17, 2017	February 24, 2017
Staff RCP Direct Testimony	N/A	March 10, 2017
APS and Intervenor RCP Rebuttal Testimony	N/A	March 31, 2017
Staff and Intervenor Surrebuttal	March 10, 2017	April 14, 2017
APS Rejoinder	March 17, 2017	N/A
Settle By	N/A	March 17, 2017
Pre-Hearing Conference	March 13, 2017	(not discussed)
Hearing	March 22, 2017	April 18, 2017

The arguments on Vote Solar's Motion to Strike, and the parties' proposals for modifications to the procedural schedule, were taken under advisement.

Resolution. The proposed changes to the procedural schedule presented by the parties at the January 11, 2017 procedural conference are reasonable, except that they would not allow time for a pre-hearing conference. A pre-hearing conference will be necessary due to the number of parties and the large number of issues to be considered. While the requirement for Rejoinder Testimony will be dropped as proposed, the hearing will be set to commence on April 24, 2017, in order to allow time for a pre-hearing conference, which will be scheduled for April 20, 2017.

APS and Intervenor are now scheduled to file RCP Rebuttal Testimony in response to Staff's RCP Direct Testimony. Intervenor may, if they wish, respond at that time to the APS Supplemental Testimonies as well as to Staff's RCP Direct Testimony, and Staff and Intervenor will have an additional opportunity to respond in their Surrebuttal Testimony. It is therefore not necessary to strike APS's Supplemental Testimonies, and Vote Solar's Motion to Strike will be denied.

...

1 APS's Motion for Protective Order

2 In its January 5, 2017 Motion for Protective Order, APS argues that absent an extraordinary
3 showing, depositions should not be allowed in this proceeding. APS states that witnesses in
4 Commission rate proceedings offer or support policy conclusions based on experience and subject
5 matter expertise, as opposed to offering their perception of or recall of historical events. APS contends
6 that obtaining the perspective of a single witness in a deposition, rather than the Company's final
7 answer on a topic in a data response, is an inefficient means of discovery in comparison to the
8 Commission's use of unlimited data requests. At the January 11, 2017 procedural conference, APS
9 argued that the noticed depositions are untimely in relation to the procedural schedule, both because
10 Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner are working to prepare their rebuttal testimony, and APS's witnesses are
11 actively engaged in settlement discussions. APS contends that allowing the depositions to go forward,
12 as well as any further depositions that EFCA may be expected to notice in this proceeding, would be
13 overly burdensome because it would impinge on its witnesses' ability to adhere to the procedural
14 schedule in this case.

15 APS requests that a protective order be granted relieving APS of the obligation to produce Mr.
16 Snook and Mr. Miessner for deposition. In the alternative, APS requests that if the noticed depositions
17 are authorized, that it be specified that Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner will be deposed only once; that
18 each deposition be limited to a maximum of eight hours for all parties; and that EFCA be required to
19 identify any other depositions it intends to take in this proceeding.

20 In EFCA's January 6, 2017 Response to APS's Motion, EFCA states that it wishes to
21 investigate APS's proposed DG and demand charges; that because APS's Motion for Protective Order
22 regarding EFCA's deposition of Ms. Lockwood was denied, the current Motion for Protective Order
23 should also be denied; and that deposing key witnesses does not constitute a burden.

24 In its January 6, 2017 Emergency Motion for Expedited Consideration Regarding EFCA's
25 Response to APS's Motion for Protective Order, EFCA requested that an emergency hearing for
26 consideration of APS's Motion for Protective Order to be convened no later than January 12, 2017,
27 because the depositions of APS witnesses Leland Snook and Charles Miessner were noticed for January
28 16 and January 20, 2017. EFCA contends that the two noticed depositions are necessary to help EFCA

1 meet the January 27, 2017 deadline for filing its Direct Testimony on Rate Design issues.

2 At the January 11, 2017 procedural conference, EFCA argued that it wishes to talk to Mr. Snook
3 and Mr. Miessner, and asserted that a deposition is more efficient than the Commission's practice of
4 written data requests and data responses.

5 Vote Solar, at the January 11, 2017 procedural conference, stated that it has a general interest
6 in the Commission making clear that depositions are allowed in Commission proceedings, absent a
7 particularized showing that a deposition should not be allowed. Vote Solar stated that if the noticed
8 depositions take place, Vote Solar would participate with questions regarding APS's Cost of Service
9 Study and testimony. Vote Solar contended that due to the technical issues and terms used in this
10 proceeding, a deposition is the most effective discovery too. Vote Solar argued that multiple rounds
11 of written discovery on technical issues lengthens the discovery process.

12 The arguments on APS's Motion for Protective Order, EFCA's responses thereto, and the
13 arguments by APS, EFCA, and Vote Solar at the January 11, 2017 procedural conference were taken
14 under advisement.

15 Resolution. The Notices of Deposition of Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner are distinguishable
16 from the Notice of Deposition of Ms. Lockwood in two important respects: timing and purpose.

17 Mr. Snook's and Mr. Miessner's prefiled Direct Testimonies, which were filed with APS's Rate
18 Application on June 1, 2016, have been public since that date. Waiting until December 30, 2016, while
19 APS is preparing its rebuttal case on parties' prefiled Direct Testimonies, which were filed up through
20 December 28, 2016, is not reasonable. For this reason alone, APS's Motion for Protective Order should
21 be granted. EFCA has not alleged any facts to justify the untimeliness of its Notices of Deposition.

22 In addition, the stated purpose of the deposition of Ms. Lockwood presented by EFCA differed
23 significantly from the stated purpose of its proposed depositions of Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner.
24 EFCA, in arguing against APS's Motion for Protective Order against EFCA's Notice of Deposition of
25 Barbara Lockwood, EFCA stated of Ms. Lockwood's prefiled Direct Testimony:

26 Her testimony is the fulcrum of APS's story in this case. The preponderance of her
27 testimony is opinion. EFCA has critical and relevant questions related to Ms.
28 Lockwood's opinions, which require her direct answers as a witness offering specialized
testimony. Live questions and answers, with immediate follow-up, are the standard,

1 best, and most efficient way to get facts from this witness.

2 EFCA's October 12, 2016 Response to APS's Motion for Procedural Conference and Interim
3 Protective Order

4 The nature of the prefiled Direct Testimonies of both Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner differs significantly
5 from the prefiled Direct Testimony of Ms. Lockwood. Mr. Snook's and Mr. Miessner's Direct
6 Testimonies are much narrower in scope and involve a much higher level of technicality than Ms.
7 Lockwood's. Mr. Snook's and Mr. Miessner's testimonies, rather than consisting preponderantly of
8 opinion, are technical in nature. Deposition discovery is not the most efficient means of obtaining
9 additional and deeper factual information about the issues discussed therein.

10 Because EFCA's Notices of Deposition of Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner are burdensome at this
11 point in the procedural posture of this case; because the discovery EFCA seeks is more readily
12 obtainable through unlimited written discovery; and because EFCA has had ample opportunity to
13 propound that written discovery, APS's Motion for Protective Order will be granted.

14 It is notable that, as reflected in the record of this proceeding, in addition to the availability of
15 unlimited written discovery, APS has sponsored several technical conferences to which all parties have
16 had access, and that settlement discussions are currently ongoing. According to the parties at the
17 January 11, 2017 procedural conference, settlement discussions are expected to continue after Staff's
18 RCP Methodology testimony is filed. At this juncture in this proceeding, deposition discovery will
19 have negligible value. Parties are encouraged to continue their written discovery in conformance with
20 usual Commission rate case practice instead of seeking more deposition discovery.

21 Motions to Compel

22 The pending Motions to Compel filed by APS and Mr. Woodward, and the related responsive
23 pleadings, will be considered in a subsequent Procedural Order.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Arizona Public Service Company's January 5, 2017
25 Motion for Protective Order is granted, relieving Arizona Public Service Company from the obligation
26 to produce Mr. Snook and Mr. Miessner for the depositions noticed in this proceeding by the Energy
27 Freedom Coalition of America.

28 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Vote Solar's January 9, 2017 Motion to Strike is denied.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if it has not already done so, **Arizona Public Service**
2 **Company shall provide to Staff**, at the earliest possible date, **the underlying data that the RCP**
3 **Methodology relies upon** pursuant to the requirements of Decision No. 75859.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff shall use the spreadsheet described in Decision No.
5 75859 to develop a proxy for rooftop solar generation, based on Arizona Public Service Company's
6 projects and PPAs with in-service dates within the five years up to and including the test year in this
7 case. Staff shall include avoided transmission, distribution capacity and line losses in its analysis.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's RCP Methodology testimony shall include a proposed
9 Plan of Administration that provides the mechanism for annual updates to the RCP Methodology
10 formula ultimately approved in this proceeding, which according to Decision No. 75859 are to result
11 in modifications to the DG export rate set in this proceeding, not to exceed a reduction of greater than
12 10 percent annually.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff shall file its testimony on its RCP Methodology and
14 proposed Plan of Administration pursuant to the requirements of Decision No. 75859 pursuant to the
15 modified procedural schedule in this proceeding set forth below.

16 ...

17 ...

18 ...

19 ...

20 ...

21 ...

22 ...

23 ...

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that to facilitate the incorporation of the RCP Methodology into this proceeding, **the current procedural schedule in this case is hereby modified as follows:**

<u>Filing</u>	<u>Current Deadline</u>	<u>New Deadline</u>
Intervenor Direct Testimony (Rate Design)	January 27, 2017	February 3, 2017 (4:00 p.m.)
APS Rebuttal Testimony	February 17, 2017	February 24, 2017 (4:00 p.m.)
Staff RCP Direct Testimony	N/A	March 10, 2017 (4:00 p.m.)
APS and Intervenor RCP Rebuttal Testimony	N/A	March 31, 2017 (4:00 p.m.)
Staff and Intervenor Surrebuttal	March 10, 2017	April 14, 2017 (4:00 p.m.)
APS Rejoinder	March 17, 2017	Filing not required
Settle By	N/A	March 17, 2017 (4:00 p.m.)
Pre-Hearing Conference	March 13, 2017	April 20, 2017 (2:00 p.m.)
Hearing	March 22, 2017	April 24, 2017 (10:00 a.m.)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that due to the extraordinary circumstances presented by the incorporation of the RCP Methodology into this proceeding, **the timeclock in this matter is hereby extended accordingly, by 33 days.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party or prospective party shall **refer to the Rate Case Procedural Order** issued in this matter on **July 22, 2016**, for additional information regarding the process to consent to service by email. Information regarding Consent to Email Service is also available on the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) by clicking on "Email Service Consent."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 13th day of January, 2017.


TEENA JIBILIAN
ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

On this 13th day of January, 2017, the foregoing document was filed with Docket Control as a Procedural Order – Reschedules a Hearing, and copies of the foregoing were mailed on behalf of the Hearing Division to the following who have not consented to email service. On this date or as soon as possible thereafter, the Commission's eDocket program will automatically email a link to the foregoing to the following who have consented to email service.

Thomas A. Loquvam
Thomas L. Mumaw
Melissa M. Krueger
PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION
400 North 5th Street, MS 8695
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for Arizona Public Service Company
Thomas.Loquvam@pinnaclewest.com
Thomas.Mumaw@pinnaclewest.com
Melissa.Krueger@pinnaclewest.com
Amanda.Ho@pinnaclewest.com
Debra.Orr@pinnaclewest.com
prefo@swlaw.com

Consented to Service by Email

Patricia Ferré
P.O. Box 433
Payson, AZ 85547
pFerréact@mac.com

Consented to Service by Email

Richard Gayer
526 W. Wilshire Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85003
rgayer@cox.net

Consented to Service by Email

Warren Woodward
55 Ross Circle
Sedona, AZ 86336
w6345789@yahoo.com

Consented to Service by Email

Anthony L. Wanger
Alan L. Kierman
Brittany L. DeLorenzo
IO DATA CENTERS, LLC
615 N. 48th St.
Phoenix, AZ 85008

Patrick J. Black
C. Webb Crockett
FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Attorneys for Freeport Minerals Corporation and
Arizonans for Electric Choice and Competition
wcrocket@fclaw.com

pblack@fclaw.com
khiggins@energystrat.com

Consented to Service by Email

Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel
RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
1110 W. Washington, Suite 220
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Greg Eisert, Director
Steven Puck, Director
Government Affairs
SUN CITY HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
10401 W. Coggins Drive
Sun City, AZ 85351
gregeisert@gmail.com
Steven.puck@cox.net

Consented to Service by Email

Timothy M. Hogan
ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC
INTEREST
514 W. Roosevelt St.
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Attorneys for Western Resource Advocates,
Southwest Energy Efficiency Project, and Vote Solar
thogan@aic@aclpi.org

ken.wilson@westernresources.org
schlegelj@aol.com

ezuckerman@swenergy.org

bbaatz@aceee.org

briana@votesolar.org

cosuala@earthjustice.org

dbender@earthjustice.org

cfitzgerrell@earthjustice.org

Consented to Service by Email

T. Hogan
ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC
INTEREST
514 W. Roosevelt St.
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Attorneys for Arizona School Boards Association and
Arizona Association of School Business Officials

Meghan H. Grabel
OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.
2929 N. Central Ave., Suite 2100
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Attorneys for Arizona Investment Council
Mgrabel@omlaw.com

gyaquinto@arizonaic.org

Consented to Service by Email

Craig A. Marks
CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC
10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676
Phoenix, AZ 85028
Attorney for Arizona Utility Ratepayer Alliance
Craig.Marks@azbar.org
Pat.Quinn47474@gmail.com
Consented to Service by Email

Al Gervenack, Director
Rob Robbins, President
PROPERTY OWNERS & RESIDENTS
ASSOCIATION
13815 Camino del Sol
Sun City West, AZ 85372
Al.gervenack@porascw.org
Rob.robbins@porascw.org
Consented to Service by Email

Tom Harris, Chairman
ARIZONA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES
ASSOCIATION
2122 W. Lone Cactus Dr., Suite 2
Phoenix, AZ 85027
Tom.Harris@AriSEIA.org
Consented to Service by Email

Cynthia Zwick, Executive Director
Kevin Hengehold, Energy Program Director
ARIZONA COMMUNITY ACTION
ASSOCIATION
2700 N. 3rd Street, Suite 3040
Phoenix, AZ 85004
czwick@azcaa.org
khengehold@azcaa.org
Consented to Service by Email

Jay I. Moyes
MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS LTD
1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100
Phoenix, AZ 85012
Attorneys for Electrical District Number Eight and
McMullen Valley Water Conservation & Drainage
District
JasonMoyes@law-msh.com
jimoyes@law-msh.com
jim@harcuvar.com
Consented to Service by Email

Kurt J. Boehm
Jody Kyler Cohn
BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY
36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Attorneys for The Kroger Co.

John William Moore, Jr.
7321 North 16th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85020
Attorney for The Kroger Co.

Giancarlo G. Estrada
KAMPER ESTRADA, LLP
3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 770
Phoenix, AZ 85012
Attorneys for Solar Energy Industries Association
gestrada@lawphx.com
kfox@kfwlaw.com
kcrandall@eq-research.com
Consented to Service by Email

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
210 Continental Road, Suite 216A
Green Valley, AZ 85622
Attorney for Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC,
Constellation New Energy, Inc., and Direct Energy, Inc.
tubaclawyer@aol.com
Consented to Service by Email

Michael W. Patten
Jason D. Gellman
SNELL & WILMER LLP
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren Street
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for Tucson Electric Power Company
mpatten@swlaw.com
jhoward@swlaw.com
docket@swlaw.com
Bcarroll@tep.com
Consented to Service by Email

Charles Wesselhoft, Deputy County Attorney
PIMA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
32 North Stone Avenue, Suite 2100
Tucson, AZ 85701
Charles.Wesselhoft@pcao.pima.gov
Consented to Service by Email

Court S. Rich
ROSE LAW GROUP PC
7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
Attorneys for Energy Freedom Coalition of America
crich@roselawgroup.com
hslaughter@roselawgroup.com
Consented to Service by Email

Greg Patterson
MUNGER CHADWICK
916 West Adams, Suite 3
Phoenix, AZ 85007
Attorneys for Arizona Competitive Power Alliance

Scott S. Wakefield
HIENTON CURRY, PLLC
5045 N. 12th Street, Suite 110
Phoenix, AZ 85014
Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
swakefield@hclawgroup.com
mlougee@hclawgroup.com
Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
Greg.tillman@walmart.com
chris.hendrix@wal-mart.com
Consented to Service by Email

Nicholas J. Enoch
Kaitlyn A. Redfield-Ortiz
Emily A. Tornabene
LUBIN & ENOCH, PC
349 N. 4th Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85003
Attorneys for Local Unions 387 and
769 of IBEW, AFL-CIO

Albert H. Acken
Sheryl A. Sweeney
Samuel L. Lofland
RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE
One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for Electrical District Number Six, Pinal
County, Arizona;
Electrical District Number Seven of the County of
Maricopa, State of Arizona;
Aguila Irrigation District; Tonopah Irrigation District;
Harquahala Valley Power District;
and Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation
District Number One
aacken@rcalaw.com
ssweeney@rcalaw.com
slofland@rcalaw.com
jjw@krsaline.com
Consented to Service by Email

Ann-Marie Anderson
WRIGHT WELKER & PAUOLE, PLC
10429 South 51st Street, Suite 285
Phoenix, AZ 85044
Attorneys for AARP
aanderson@wwpfirm.com
sjennings@aarp.org
aallen@wwpfirm.com
john@johncoffman.net
Consented to Service by Email

Thomas A. Jernigan
Karen S. White
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES
U.S. Air Force Utility Law Field Support Center
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403
Attorneys for Federal Executive Agencies
thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil
ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil
andrew.unsicker@us.af.mil
lanny.zieman.1@us.af.mil
natalie.cepak.2@us.af.mil
Consented to Service by Email

Robert L. Pickels, Jr.
Sedona City Attorney's Office
102 Roadrunner Drive
Sedona, AZ 86336
Attorneys for City of Sedona
rpickels@sedonaaz.gov
Consented to Service by Email

Garry D. Hays
THE LAW OFFICES OF GARRY D. HAYS, PC
2198 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 305
Phoenix, AZ 85016
Attorney for the Arizona Solar Deployment Alliance
ghays@lawgdh.com
Consented to Service by Email

Thomas E. Stewart, General Manager
GRANITE CREEK POWER & GAS LLC
GRANITE CREEK FARMS LLC
5316 E. Voltaire Ave.
Scottsdale, AZ 85254-3643
tom@gcfaz.com
Consented to Service by Email

Denis M. Fitzgibbons
FITZGIBBONS LAW OFFICES, PLC
115 E. Cottonwood Lane, Suite 150
PO Box 11208
Casa Grande, AZ 85130
Attorney for City of Coolidge
denis@fitzgibbonslaw.com
Consented to Service by Email

1 Timothy J. Sabo
2 SNELL & WILMER, LLP
3 One Arizona Center
4 400 E. Van Buren St.
5 Phoenix, AZ 85004
6 Attorneys for REP America d/b/a ConservAmerica
7 tsabo@swlaw.com
8 jhoward@swlaw.com
9 docket@swlaw.com
10 pwalker@conservamerica.org
11 **Consented to Service by Email**

12 Timothy La Sota, Acting Director
13 Legal Division
14 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
15 1200 West Washington Street
16 Phoenix, AZ 85007
17 Attorneys for the Utilities Division
18 LegalDiv@azcc.gov
19 MScott@azcc.gov
20 CHains@azcc.gov
21 WVanCleve@azcc.gov
22 TFord@azcc.gov
23 EVanEpps@azcc.gov
24 CFitzsimmons@azcc.gov
25 KChristine@azcc.gov
26 EAbinah@azcc.gov
27 **Consented to Service by Email**

28 COASH & COASH, INC.
Court Reporting, Video and Videoconferencing
1802 North 7th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85006

By: RTallman
Rebecca Tallman
Assistant to Teena Jibilian